PTOL-413 (REV. 1-84)



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

	Wash	ington, D.C. 20231		
SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLI	CANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
		A	1000,000B	
L 087726, 377 - 30765796-				
Г	٦		EXAMINER	
	13654/0001	150 3 54 11 14	.:07 W	
PARKETAL N. MARINEZZA		TO NO HIA		
LYON & LYON LLP			14	
633 WEST FIFTH STREET SUTTE 8700		2753		
FOR ANDTIES CA 90071-	2066 Kaminer interview summary :		. 03/01/99	
		RECORD		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representation				
11) Daniel N. Yannazzi	# 3672 <sup>7</sup> (3)			
12) Mark Rinehaut, U				
	<u>&gt;                                    </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Date of interview Z/22/98				
Type: Telephonic  Personal (copy is give	en to lapplicant lapplicant's represe	ntative).		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:	res No. If yes, brief description:			
Agreement was reached with respect to some of	or all of the claims in question. $\square$ was no	ot reached.		
Claims discussed: 1-43				
	1 1 0 1 1	1 1 0		
Identification of prior art discussed: Logo	n et al., Kichardson	etal, of up	curd,	
		A . I.	4.1.1	
Description of the general nature of what was agree		• •		
Loganetal as kiosk with liv	nited local stoned URLS an	d only limited 1	nterneturl access.	
		1 . 1	11 1 1	
further, Michaeldson et al uses a tou	or prescript w/o tour qui	de interaction	. Applicant contrasts	
invention which uses pilot sele	ected pages that are rev	united by a se	paratecontrolsite	
	, 0	- 1	.11 -1 113. 11	
beard to prisuant.	tounquide makes selecti	145, Examiner	will consider this with	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments	the amendments, if available, which the ex	aminer agreed would re	ider the claims allowable must be	
Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUB- last Office action has already been filed, then applie	o indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WF	RITTEN RESPONSE TO s 1 – 7 on the reverse side	THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS of this form). If a response to the	
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a	separate record of the substance of the inte	rview.		
Since the examiner's interview summary ab requirements that may be present in the las response requirements of the last Office action	st Office action, and since the claims are not on.	complete response to eac v allowable, this complete	h of the objections, rejections and ed form is considered to fulfill the	
	Mark H. Rinehart	-		
	Primary Examiner_ Ex	aminer's Signature		
PTOL-413 (REV. 1-84)	<del></del>			